COUNTRY LEVEL: CONFLICT SENSITIVITY CHECKLIST

Use this checklist to see how conflict sensitive your strategy is. This does not replace the project level conflict sensitivity checklist but can be used to provide an initial level of awareness of conflict sensitivity across the portfolio of projects that make up the strategy. Project level checklists should also be completed.

Country:          Date Completed:

Name:          Appointment:

Date Due for Review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requirement for Conflict Sensitivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Using the conflict sensitivity <a href="#">flowchart</a> how many of our existing portfolio of projects would require a conflict sensitivity assessment?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Of these how many have conducted a conflict sensitivity assessment?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. How many have reviewed an existing conflict sensitivity within the last 12 months?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now consider the existing country strategy.  

| 4. Using the conflict sensitivity [flowchart](#) is there a need to do a conflict sensitivity assessment for the country strategy? If yes please continue with the checklist | Yes/No |

**Analytical Basis**

| 5. Have we conducted a conflict analysis that provides us with an understanding of the conflict drivers and connectors, the main conflict actors and their positions and interests? [If yes please add a link to the document](#) |        |
| 6. Have we conducted a conflict sensitivity assessment to understand how our strategy will interact with these conflict drivers, connectors, positions and interests? [If yes please add a link to the document](#) |        |
| 7. Did a wide enough group of people take part in these analyses to ensure that the perspectives of all conflict groups were represented accurately? |        |
| 8. Are there mechanisms in place to regularly review and update this analysis? [If yes](#) |        |

---

1 This framework should be reviewed annually or earlier if the situation changes significantly

Once complete please share with the Tearfund Peacebuilding Hub
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### Strategy Design

9. Does our strategy take account of the drivers, connectors, positions and interests identified in our analysis? Have the implications of the analysis been carried through into the design of the strategy?

10. Does our targeting within the strategy exacerbate existing differences or tensions between groups?

11. Does our strategy exacerbate tensions over access to resources or services?

12. Does our strategy take account of threats and opportunities posed by social, cultural, political or religious events and festivals?

13. Could our strategy be co-opted in any way by any of the factions or key conflict actors to further their own political or security agendas?

### Communication

14. Is the rationale for the geographical areas, issues addressed and beneficiary groups communicated and understood by all key stakeholders?

15. Do we have a procedure for reporting, logging and following up on requests, complaints and grievances? Is this procedure used and are those raising such issues informed of the outcome of their question?

### Staffing

16. Are our staff representative of the local community or do they align us with one particular group or faction?

17. Does our recruitment adversely affect the ability of other indigenous governmental or non-governmental organisations to effectively deliver services?

### Behaviour and Procedures

18. Do our practices and ways of behaving suggest that we judge different groups or factions in different ways? Are we consistent in how we respond to different groups?

19. Do our procurement practices have a negative impact on local markets perhaps by undercutting local suppliers or by depending too heavily on actors aligned with one of the conflict factions or groups?

20. Does the way in which we engage with government agencies reflect and reinforce their accountability, legitimacy and transparency.

21. Are we building the capacity of our partners and stakeholders or is our presence frustrating the growth of local capacities?

22. Do we monitor and safeguard our partners from conflicts of interest? Are their
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practices and procedures good enough to protect them from accusation of fraud, favouritism or corruption?

Once complete please share with the Tearfund Peacebuilding Hub
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